CITY OF YORK COUNCIL

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

4	Name and Job Title of names	
1	Name and Job Title of person	Will Boardman
	completing assessment	Head of Corporate Policy and City Partnerships
2	Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed	Proposed submission of devolution asks to Government
3	What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?	Alongside the other neighbouring councils of York and North Yorkshire, the proposal is to submit a set of "Asks" for the Government to consider which would form the basis of a devolution deal for York and North Yorkshire. The "Asks" are based on supporting the following vision: <i>For York and North</i> <i>Yorkshire (YNY) to become England's</i> <i>first carbon negative economy, where</i> <i>people with the skills and aspiration</i> <i>to reach their full potential, earn</i> <i>higher wages and live healthy lives in</i> <i>thriving communities.</i>
4	Date	

S	Stage 1: Initial Screening	
5	What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed strategy/ service/policy/function/criteria could have an adverse impact on quality of life outcomes ¹ for people from the protected characteristics? Document the source of evidence, (e.g. anecdotal, research-including national or sectoral, results of	

¹ See appendix 1

	engagement/consultation, monitoring data etc) and assess relevance of impact as: Not relevant / Low / Medium / High.				
Protected Characteristic		Not relevant	L/M/H	Source of evidence that there is or is likely to be adverse impact	
а	Race	Х		The decision at this point is	
b	Religion / Spirituality /Belief	X		whether to submit these asks to Government. This would result in the potential for greater investment in the	
С	Gender	Х			
d	Disability	Х		region. Overall, there is no	
е	Sexual Orientation	Х		 evidence that this would be detrimental to any communities of identify, with the potential for it to be significantly positive. If the asks are submitted and Government responds with a proposed deal, the individual aspects of it will be assessed against each protected characteristic. 	
f	Age	Х			
g	Pregnancy/maternit	Х			
h	Gender Reassignment	Х			
i	Marriage and Civil Partnership	Х			
j	Carers	Х			
If y	If you assess the service/policy/function as not relevant across ALL the				

characteristics, please proceed to section 11.

If you assess the service/policy/function as **relevant for ANY of the characteristics**, continue to Stage 2, Full Equality Impact Assessment.

Sta	Stage 2: Full Equality Impact Assessment		
6	Are there any concerns that the proposed or reviewed service/policy/function/criteria may be discriminatory, or have an adverse impact on members of the public, customers or staff with protected characteristics?		
а	Public/cus tomers		
b	Staff		
If th	If there are no concerns , go to section 11.		

to m	If there are concerns, go to section 7 amend service/policy/function/criteria to mitigate adverse impact, consider actions to eliminate adverse impact, or justify adverse impact.			
7	Can the adverse impact be justified? E.g. in terms of community cohesion, other legislation, enforcement etc. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!			
8	What changes will you make to the service/policy/function as result of information in parts 5&6 above?			
9	What arrangements will you put in place to monitor impact of the proposed service/policy/function/criteria on individuals from the protected characteristics?			
10	promote equality of protected characterist training and projects	u will take to address any unjust outcome (as in appendix 1) for tics. Consider action for any proce related to the service/policy/function promote equality in outcomes as	individuals from edures, services, on/criteria which	
Action		Lead	When by?	

11	Date EIA completed
	hor: Will Boardman
Pos	sition: Head of Corporate Strategy and City Partnerships
Date	e: 15/07/20